

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ucpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,002 11/07/2001		Jozef Herman Peter Bastiaens	08CN07467-1	5002
23413 7	590 04/04/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP		LEE, RIP A		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1713	
			DATE MAIL CD. 04/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

•		W
Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
09/683,002	BASTIAENS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rip A. Lee	1713	

AUVISOLY ACTION	09/063,002	BASTIALINS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
• •	Rip A. Lee	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
E REPLY FILED 21 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: ☐ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any			
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **MENDMENTS**						
B. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially fo	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>The present amendment removes the exception of the present amendment required to the second s</u>						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		· .				
Claim(s) allowed:	,					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-13,16-21 and 23-33</u> .		·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)		No(s)				
13. Other:	2 WL	M_03-29-25	J.			
	DAVID W. WU	Rip A. Lee				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY OF HTTP 4700